

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

mu 2150-26-2
" 389-28-18+20
" 389-28-1-10
" ^{do} 42-27-1-3 ^{other Serials}
Later References
" 275-29-1 4

1930-31

(mu 2150)

File No. 116-30

Serial Nos. 1-3, 5,

10 & 12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat.

67-90-31 (5-7, 37-38)

mu 220-31-1+2

mu 309-31-(1-4)

" 229-32-1-

-- 7 8 . 3 4 -- 17 . 16-24.

Serial Nos. 21

Part B for Sept. 31

SUBJECT.

Revision of the Scale of income and
expenditure in Sri Vyasarayya Swami
matt at Sesale & appointment of a new
Agent etc

To. mu 2150
Comptroller

G. O. No

385-6 of 10.9.31

Sanctions the _____

mu
17.9.31

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muz.)

NOTES.

File No. 116-30

FILE HEADING.

Report on the management of the properties
of the Sri Vyasaraya Swamy mutt outside the taluk

FROM

The Muzrai Commr. in Mysore

No. 1888

Dated

2.9.30.

Received

4.9.30.

Diary No.

Enclos.

Spare copies

Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits the report on the management of the properties belonging to Sri Vyasaraya Swamy mutt in British India with recommendations for grant of extra allowance of Rs 25/- per mensem to Mr. Kesavaiah from the date when he entered on his duties & for enhancement of pay of clerk appointed from Rs 50/- to Rs 60/- etc.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Muz. Commr's recommendations are :-

- (i) Sanction of Govt. to the disposal of the vacant ~~land~~ in Srirangam as building sites.
(vide para 10 of the report.)
- (ii) Sanction to the distribution of the income of the mutt on the lines forth

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial
No.

27 of the report

(iii) that the Services of Mr. H. Kesavamm
the Govt. Agent and Mr. R. A. Narasimha
Iyengar, Mus. Comm's Asst. be suitably
recorded. (Vide para 29 of the report)

(iv) Sanction of extra allowance of Rs 257
p.m. to Mr. Kesavamm from the date
when he entered on his duties as Govt
Agent. (Vide para 31 of the report)

(v) Enhancement of the pay of the
clerk from Rs 507 p.m. to Rs 607 p.m.

(2) Subd. for kind orders with references,
G.S. 118X Adraft G.O. approving the M.C.'s
1249. action so far as also is recommended
from which will be put up of app.
Finl. Secy)

13.9.30

(3) The report of the M.C. is not for
as it goes. This is not a decision
approved. For the up of the
of submission, and a copy of the


1st ...

CSH
25/10

VS

Rm
27

113



File No.

of 193

General and revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
10. NOV. 1930

OFFICE OF THE
FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
4 NOV. 1930
No. 4544

(5) opn mtd

A
28/10

(6) Please put up a
typed draft as now revised

VS
30.10.30

7 an opn mtd

A mtd
31/10

1st Member
thru Law Secy

VS
3.11.30

10 mtd
3/11
VS
4.11.30

(9) 6/11 p.m. 6/11

(10) Issue + Subm
VS
7.11.30

3. To. The May Com
" Comftrk
no. 1051-2 g. 7-11-30

Sanctions etc. 7-11-30
a mtd

11 Submitted as directed in para 10
A mtd
9/11 12 For kind perusal.

NOTE - Contn Sheet

Serial
No.

2

Letter no 1888/28-29 of 1-11-30 from
The Muzrai Commissioner submitting a
Consolidated statement showing the estimate
income and expenditure proposed to be
incurred from the fund of the Sri
Vyasaraja Swami Math at Sosale
T. Narasimhaiah and requesting
approval to the revised proposals as per
statement enclosed.

11 The main file muz 116-30-1 (S. No 358)
was sent on 11/30 for submission to Secy.
The return of the main file is awaited.

107/11

11 
12-11-30

10

In the light of the order issued on 7-11-30, it
is for order of a further communication may
be requested from M-C - ~~Harasimhaiah~~ the
revised proposals are to be sent —


1) The Muzrai Commissioner's
Attention may be invited to
f.o. of 7-11-30 and he may be
requested to submit final
proposals regarding the scale of
Expenditure about the end
January next, after taking
necessary action on the points
raised therein

MSK

17-11-30

Law Secy)

WD 02533-GPB-50,000-8730

19-11-30


11-12-30
D. 11-12-30
29/11/30

File No. 116

of 1930

General and Revenue
Secretariat

ccy) VS
4.12.30

Draft revised

25/12

26/12

May the draft issue?

25.12.30


Issue
VS
2.1.31

To the Muz Commissioner. No. 1462 of 3-1-31 5
requesting him to point out source
to meet new items for which sanction
is now applied for.

5-1-31.

Letter No 1888 of 28-29 of 13-1-31 8

From the Muzrai Commissioner
stating that the income of the Priyadarshinagar
Mutt is Rs 46040-1-9 as based on
an average of 3 years Collections including
Cash grant of Rs 3977-12-0 per year
and that there is thus an increase of
Rs 4040/- and adding that the
expenditure of Rs 2968-12-2 (as detailed therein
with ~~Hallankar Anjanayak~~
may be met from Rs 4040/-
Net to above —



8-8 11/11
+ 7 or over — Proposals in
respect of 2 trenchment of Staff
have not been submitted 29-8-30
Vide para 30 of M.S. 5 dated 29-8-30
H. Secy VS 10.1.31

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No. 116

of 1930

Serial
No.

26 The M's proposal may be sanctioned
principally and his further report regarding the
points raised on the 6th 7.11.30 - May K
invited.

3rd meeting

19/1/31
B

27 A representation addressed to
me & the Commission is put up
for the consideration of any
consideration may be given to
this request in view of the
resources of the institution being
slight better than were anticipated

26/1/31
B

28 D.L. Subd for consideration
as 26/1/31
Fl. Secy)


27.1.31

27.1.31

Issue

27.1.31

10 Letter no 1707 of 30 1-31 to the
Muzrai Commission.



No 247 of 9-1-31

Serial
No

his opinion on the representation
of the Maniyala referred to above

Representation no 260 of 3-2-31 12
from the Swamigalee of the Tri
iyasarayaswami Kudde Stating that
among other matters the same has
been put to inconvenience as the
monthly grant for December and
January has not been paid

Representation no 278 of 16-2-31
from the same Swamigalee enclosing 13
the endorsement no C 1303 of 12-2-31
received from the Muzrai Commission
stating that unless the particulars
called for therein are furnished
by the Mutt the Comptroller will
not pass the next bills -

31) Cross of the Secretary on the P. & D.
Reference to a note.

The previous representation of
9.1.31 was forwarded to M.C. for opinion
vide letter no 1707 of 30.1.31. His reply
is awaited in the matter

33) What Mr. Sundara Rajasekar
referred to in P. & D. represented in person
is not on record. It is not also
known why the Mutt should not
furnish particulars required
by the M.C.

Sub to the file on the subject
The Prin. Khos may be sent to the M.C.
as
refd

Sub
31/1/31

Serial No.	
	for early disposal & report ²⁶ H. Secy) <u>VS</u> ^{msk} 26/4/31 26.2.3 1st Member) 7 <u>VS</u> ^{msk} 28/4/31 2nd Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 3rd Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 4th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 5th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 6th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 7th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 8th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 9th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 10th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 11th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 12th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 13th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 14th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 15th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 16th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 17th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 18th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 19th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 20th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 21st Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 22nd Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 23rd Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 24th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 25th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 26th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 27th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 28th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 29th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u> 30th Member) 11/3/31 <u>VS</u>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	No 1927 of 32-3-31 to the Finance Commission for disposal of properties (see) (see) the Report... Representation No 300 of 13/3/31 from the Swamigal of the Sri Vyasarai Math stating that the sum of 20,000, now assigned for the expenses of the Math may be raised to Rs 24,000 First Member) <u>VS</u> ^{msk} 27/3 27.3.31 Issue <u>VS</u> 28.7.31

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

Muz File No. 116

of 1930

General and Revenue
Secretariat

16

No 2129 of 30/3/31 to the
Muzrai Commission forwarding
for report the representation
of the Sri Swamigal of the
for by an assignment for an amount
estimated to be Rs

Letter no 1888/28-29 of 17
23/5/31 from the Muzrai Commission
recommending that the request
of the Swamigal may be granted
by sanctioning an additional
allowance of Rs 2000 for this year.

Vice para 2 of G.O. no 1051-2
of 7.11.30. Proposals regarding the reduction
of the staff are not yet received.
Perhaps the provision of Rs 6000 on account
of the establishment has been accepted
as proposed in M.C. letter of 1/11/30

In the P. U. D. - it is proposed
to grant Rs 2000/- more in addition to Rs
20,000 for the management of the abtains
of the temple. Whether this may be
done by cutting down the reserve
amount to that extent or utilise
the sum of Rs 2000/- proposed for
repairs and improvements to the
temple properties for meeting the extra
allowance is a point on which M.C.
has to be consulted.

Serial
No.

with the income of the m.c. from
various sources indicated in the State
received with the letter of 1/11/30 were
want the expenditure is another
matter upon which the m.c. has
to be consulted. No. before
approval of the scale ~~and of~~
proposed. While account sanction
to the allowance of Rs 2000/- more
now proposed, it will be necessary to
accord ^{also} approval to the scale of
Income and Expenditure of the m.c.

v) Sub for ords

S.S. 118K
20/6

H. Leeg)

30.6.3

Letter: 101707
11/11/3151) Has the M.C. replied to the point
raised in an letter of 30th June 1931.H
20/6

VS

52) The letter to para 50 is submitted m.c. has
not so far replied to this office letter of 30/1/31.

The file may perhaps be referred to
the Muz Comm. committee for their
view of remarks on the point raised in
2/7/31. (Paragraphs 45-5-5)

H. Leeg)

3.7.31

53) Then remain.

H

6/7/31

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

File No. 117

of 1930

General and Revenue
Secretariat

ss W.B. 7/7
55) Off. as directed in para 53 sub

Issue
VS
8.7.31

Issued no 40 of 9.7.1931

Letter no 1888/28-29 of 9.7.31 from 18
The Muzrai Commissioner stating
that it is found desirable for reasons
set forth therein to continue the present
establishment of the Sri Vyasaiah
Mata Agency Office and requesting
that the services of Mr. Kesavaiah
in the Muzrai Department may be
retained till the end of September
1931.

mo 13/1/31 Please
see later
referred

Of. sanctioning the request of Mr. M.C. is
put up for approval. The question of
raising the allowance for the Mata will be dealt
with on receipt of ^{additional} information called for.

Fl. Secy) 57 VS
22.7.31

1st member) 24/7/31
57

Revised draft subd

9.54/24/7 First Member) VS
27.7.31

Done
M.C.
29/7/31

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Muz File No. 116 of 1930.

Serial
No.

19

9-10 No 159-61 of 30. 7. 31 Sanction
the continuance of the services of
Mr. Keshava Moorthy in the Muzrai
Department till the end of Sept. 1931

20

Letter no 1888 / 28-29 of
8/8/31 from the Muzrai
Commissioner requesting that
the additional grant recommended
in his letter no 1888 of 23. 6. 31
be kindly sanctioned for reasons
set forth therein.

21

Letter no 1888 of 8.8.31
from the same officer
proposing that Mr. K. S. Sankar
acting Assistant Registrar of Co-operative
Societies be appointed as Government
Agent on his own pay of Rs 125/-
in place of Mr. Keshava Moorthy
in receipt of Rs 150 + 75/- per. mensem
for a period of two years

22

Letter no 1888 of 10. 8. 31
from the same officer
requesting sanction to the grant
of two months' privilege leave
on the present engagements to
Mr. Keshava Moorthy Government

Serial
No.

Agent of the Sri Vyasaaya Swami in
kind costs are required
on the following points:—

i Additional allowance of
Rs 2000/- to the Swami for
the last year 1930-31.

ii Appointment of Mr. S.
Srinappa as Home Agent in
place of Mr. Keshava Moorthy
on Rs 125/- per

iii Grant of a Special P.A.
of $1\frac{1}{2}$ times the Second class
Ry. fare and 300 mileage

iv Waiving the pension
contribution for 2 yrs
from the Sri Vyasaaya Swami
on Mr. Srinappa's account.

v The grant of privilege
leave for 2 months to Mr.
Keshava Moorthy on his
present emoluments
(Rs 150 + 75)

An allowance of Rs 1000
may be sanctioned for
the present. ✓

May be approved as
a probation measure for
6 months. ✓

May be sanctioned
✓

of the loan is to
be limited for 6 months for
the present, the contribution
may be waived as a special
case pending consideration of
the next year. ✓

May be sanctioned,
the loan being limited to
 $\frac{21 \times 1}{11}$ months. ✓

There is already a ~~deficit~~ ^{decrease in} income of Rs 8000/- nearly to the tune on account of the fresh lease in payment of the Vazhathkulam Village belonging to the mulla. In this view whether the additional allowance refd to in (i) supra to the Swamigal is permissible is for consideration.

(61) As regards (ii), the M.C. on 9.7.31 reported that he would find out a competent official of the grade of a the Shresthadar. In stead he has now proposed one of the grade of a Deputy Amildar. This is for orders.


(62) As for (iii) - viz. waiving the pension contribution it may be said that the rules on this subject may not be relaxed as it is financially objectionable. (63) V is for orders.

H. Deeg (67) VS
12.8.31

(13) Vide marginal remarks supra.

(74) The whole position may be reviewed after the M.C. submit a revised scale of income and expenditure.

In the meantime his proposal may be sanctioned subject to modifications and remarks of the Council.



The revised scale has since been sent to the Council. (12/8/31) VS
12/8/31

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No.

116

of 1930.

Serial
No.46 D/P sub
98 M/R
191877) Issue
VS
19.8.31

24/ G. no. 289-92 of 19.8.31 sanctioning among other matters the appointment of Mr. M. S. Surappa as agent of the Sri Vyasa Raya Swami Mutt tentatively for six months and an additional allowance of Rs 1000/- to the Swami of the said mutt.

Letter no 1888/28-29 of 14.8.31 from the District Commissioner regarding sanction to the scale of expenditure suggested by him 78) income. — As against Rs 46040-1-0 anticipated last year, the income during 1931-32 is expected to be Rs 34,473-12-0 and in 32-33 and 1933-34 to go up to Rs 33,103-13-7. From properties inside the State the income has fallen from Rs 18440-1-9 to 16408-5-7. (This includes the annual State grant of Rs 3977-12) The rest in the income from outside properties is more perceptible. The last

Anticipation, as per scale now sent
being Rs 18695/-8 as against
Rs 27600 - 0 of last year. It is
for consideration whether we can
take Rs 34,500/- as the probable
income of the mill during the current
and the ensuing years

As regards expenditure under Establishment the calculation of Rs 1500/- for the three months during the current official year is not clear. Now that Mr. Subash's appointment has been approved only tentatively for six months, it is for odds of the m.c. may also be requested to be on the look-out for a competent officer of the grade of ^{1st class} T.K. Shastri in the Revenue, Registration, or other department with a working knowledge of Law and Tamil, as this is a reservation in the A.G. job.

It is indicated by the immediate fall in the income and the uncertainty of realising all the income as per scale. in view of the present economic depression.

It is proposed to release Rs 16000/- p.a. for the debt expenses and an Swamigal will have to be specifically informed that this amount will cover all items of expenditure in the month.

Serial
No.

including Maha Sam are shown that his request for the payment of the same cash grant separately to his personal account in the Mysore Bank can not be considered.

81 Other items of reduction on the Expenditure Side relate to the reduction of pay to the Clerk from Rs 60/- to Rs 40/- & the reduction in the Pension Contribution of the Agent. As to agents there is an increase of Rs 1/- to the year.

82 In sanctioning an allowance of Rs 10/- to a clerk of the M.O. Office for looking after the accounts it was expected that when affairs were settled, the Clerk that was looking after the Compendium will also be able to look after the accounts and the allowance of Rs 10/- would cease at the end of a year. (Vol 50)

No 1612-3/Muz 389-28-20 of 19.1.31

Under No 1013-14/Muz

389-28-10 of 18/11/29, an allowance of Rs 20/- is sanctioned to a clerk of the M.O. Office who looks after the Compendium.

File No. 116

of 1980

General and Revenue
Secretariat

The proposals may be tabulated
as follows: ---

Income.

Rs 34,473-13-7.

Expenditure.

Advance to Swamigals. Rs 16,000/-

Establishment Charges. 4,500/-

Law Charges 2,000/-

Repairs etc. 2,000/-

Debt Sinking Fund. 3,000/-

Bad Debts. 2,000/-

Income Expenditure.
(Details of M),
Hindu

1,575/-

Reserve

3,378-1

Rs 34,473-13

From the estimates
furnished by the M.C, it
will be apparent that
the income of the Mutt
will at best be about
Rs 35,000/-, so that,
under the present eco-
nomic conditions, it
may not be surprising
if it should fall short
of that figure.

It is thus incumbent
that the expenditure side

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No.

116

of 1930.


Serial
No.

is kept at its minimum and the Swamigalu apprised of the necessity therefor. The M.C. has already addressed the Swamigalu in the matter. It appears necessary that Govt. should endorse the M.C.'s views strongly and also request that officer to make monthly or quarterly payments to limit the expre and prevent requisitions for additional sums for expre already incurred as was recently experienced -

H. Secy)

VS
20

2625



The M.C. proposals may all be approved
2nd member)

VS
27/8/31

I agree

31/2
35/8 ✓
27/9/31

Dwain

DEWAN'S OFFICE
3. SEP. 1931

NOTE: Copy to Secy
TYPING SECTION
8. SEP. 1931
& RLVS SEC

W.D. 25/3-10 P.B. 50,000-8730

D. G. S. S. S.

27/9/31

Issue

VS

8.9.31

H. Secy

VS
7.9.31

27/9/31

Muz File No. 116

of 1930

General and Revenue
Secretariat

G.O. no 385-6 of 10-9-31
sanctioning the proposals of the
Muzrai Com. Missions -

is -

M.C. in none of his letters
refers to letter no 1707 of 30.1.31 issued
from this office. In this case order of the Secretary
in paras 50-53 of the note. It is
for order whether the M.C. may be included
in this connection or the papers filed
in view of the order passed about
the revised scale of de cante & per diem
of the P. & T. Dept. Muzrai. The
request of the Muzrai Com. Missions
to in the letter that the cash
grant may be credited to his
account in the Mysore Bank
is practically negatived by
the order to the present
revised scale.

1824
10/9/31

No further action
appears necessary

H. Secy)

VS
11.9.31

209
124

h
14/9
VS

B
16/9/31 ✓

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore, 29th August 1930. 21

No. 1888 Encl.
28-9

FROM

Rajakaryapravina

P. G. D'SOUZA, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Rajasevaparayana

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His

Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Financial Department.

SIR,

Adverting to G.O. No.859-60/Muz.389-28-7 dated 16/28th October 1929 directing the assumption of the management of the properties belonging to Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt of Sosale outside the Mysore State, I have the honor to submit for the information of Government a brief report of the work done so far in the several Districts of the Madras Presidency wherein the properties of the Mutt are situated.

Though the Government Order was passed in the month of October '29 Mr. H. Keshavamurty who was appointed as Agent was relieved at Sagar and reported himself for duty in this office only on 2nd January 1930, from which date regular work was started. The Agent was given instructions as to how he should proceed in order to bring some order out of the chaotic condition into which the affairs of the institution had drifted under the management of the Mutt subsequent to the year 1922, when the Mutt took it up from the hands of Government and the several suits in which it got involved in the British Courts in consequence of the want of capacity exhibited by the Agents employed by the Mutt in the management of its affairs. In pursuance of these instructions he toured chiefly in the Districts of Salem, Madura, Trichinopoly, Chingleput and Chittoor where the affairs of the Mutt were in a very bad condition. At the time when the Government assumed the management of the Mutt Properties situated in British India, arrears to the extent of nearly Rs 32,000/- had accumulated, the major portion of which was time

time barred and irrecoverable and the Mutt got entangled in litigation relating to certain properties which necessitated the incurring of large sums to pursue the litigation. As a consequence almost all the legitimate income that could be derived from these properties was eaten up by these suits and by the travelling and other expenses, legitimate and otherwise, of the Agents employed by the Mutt and the net income to the Mutt was thus only about Rs19,000/- per year. Some of the valuable properties in the Salem District had been proceeded against either for arrears of revenue due thereon to Government or to superior holders and had been actually advertised for sale.

3. I shall hereunder give in detail the work done so far to end the present state of litigation and consequent confusion and to ensure to the Mutt a regular yearly income with guarantees for its regular receipts, since 1st January 1930 when the ^{management} ~~arrangement~~ was effectively taken up by the Muzrai Department.

MADURA DISTRICT.

One of the previous Swamis of the Mutt had leased out a very valuable land measuring 2 acres and 96 cents in the flourishing town of Madura which is eminently fitted for house building purposes, in perpetuity ^{to one of} ~~of one of~~ its disciples, who was the Mutt's local Agent, for a nominal rent of Rs20/- per annum. The lessee sold away a ~~major~~ major portion of this land for house building purposes and realised an enormous income. Several valuable houses costing from 5 to 10 thousand rupees or even more have sprung upon these sites. After his death, his sons leased out the remaining portion to one Angappan Servai for Rs50/- per annum. Under the advice of the then Muzrai Commissioner, a suit was filed in 1927 against the sons of the original lessee and his alienees in the Sub Court of Madura praying for the cancellation of the perpetual lease and the restoration of ~~in~~ the land to the Mutt by evicting the present occupant on the strength of the Privy Council decision in the case of Vaidya Varidhi Theertha Swamigalu Vs. Balusamy Iyer. The suit dragged on till the properties came under Government management. Just prior to the assumption of ^{es}

of the management by Government, the Swamigalu had given his consent to enter into a compromise with the defendants on the advice of the then Agent employed by the Swamigalu and the legal adviser^{ap} appointed in this behalf and the matter was under enquiry in the Court. As soon as the properties were taken over to Government management, the terms of the compromise were examined with respect to the prevailing local conditions and it was found that the Swamigalu was ill-advised in giving his consent to the terms which reduced considerably the benefits ~~of~~ that would have accrued to the Mutt if the litigation had been pushed to a final decision. An attempt was therefore made to secure better terms and the legal adviser was given instructions to submit to the Court fresh terms more advantageous to the Mutt. But owing to the attitude taken by the presiding Judge in this case and the lack of enthusiasm on the part of the legal advisor employed for the Mutt whose sympathies were all towards the defendants, the point urged by us on behalf of the Mutt did not gain favour and the Court ordered that the compromise be accepted and allowed the case to be proceeded against only with respect to those who had not till then agreed to the terms of the compromise. After seeing the way things were moving I thought that it would be to the advantage of the Mutt to agree to a compromise with those who were then contesting the suit on the same terms as were agreed to by the others and offered the same to them and they also acceded to it. The compromise has now been completely effected and the Court has passed a decree in favour of the Mutt in the terms of the compromise. As a result of this decree the Mutt is to get one of the two alternatives to be chosen by the defendants viz., (i) a ground rent of Rs 1/- per month per nelam of 2400 sq.ft. plus Rs 50/- as premium or (ii) if the parties in possession want to buy it outright they have to pay at the rate of Rs 290/- per nelam. The first alternative gives to the Mutt Rs 216/- per year per acre plus ^a the premium of Rs 900/- per acre. By the second alternative the Mutt gets a lumpsum of about Rs ^{5200/-} ~~2500/-~~ per acre or for 2 acres Rs 10,000/- which if invested in the 5% Fixed Deposit will fetch Rs 500/- per annum. So far as the sons of the original lessees are concerned, they were permitted only to purchase the land in their possession and leased out to Angappan Servai. This gave the Mutt

an additional income of about R. 3000/-. The matter is pending in the Civil Court in the execution side and the parties could exercise the option of buying over the property only if they deposited in Court on or before the 28th September 1930 the purchase money. Otherwise they had to rest content with the second alternative.

So much for this case which has come almost to its final stage and though I am not quite satisfied with the settlement, as I feel that the interests of the Mutt have not been fully secured in this case owing to bad advice and hasty action on the part of the Swamigalu, still it ends a somewhat expensive litigation with its attendant worry and expense in a foreign court where we have to face an all round unsympathetic atmosphere and a set of litigants well versed in Court diplomacy and it has secured to the Mutt more than 30 times the income it was getting by the lease now~~y~~ cancelled by the Court decree

Adjoining this bit of land there is an extent of about 6 5/8ths acres of land belonging to the Mutt which has been leased out to one Mr. M.V.Palanisamy Nadar on an annual rental of R. 100/- per acre and he has constructed a Cotton Spinning Factory on it. This lease commenced in 1928-29 and is to continue for 20 years from then. Close to this plot an extent of $\frac{3}{4}$ of an acre had been leased out for an annual rental of R. 75/-. This lease expires in 1934-35. Another extent of 2 5/8 ths acres has been lying waste all this time. Efforts to secure some benefit out of it proved successful and the Mill owner Mr. M.V.Palanisamy Nadar agreed to take up the whole extent of 3 3/8th acres, -including the extent of $\frac{3}{4}$ acre now held by another lessee till 1934-35, on an annual rental of R. 400/- for a period of 20 years commencing from 1930-31. During the period when the other lessee holds $\frac{3}{4}$ acres, Mr. Palanisamy Nadar will pay R. 310/- per year and thereafter he will pay R. 400/- per year.

There is another plot of ground known as the Anna Thope' in Madura whose extent is 12 and odd acres. This has passed into the lands of ~~xx~~ some influential persons in Madura by the alienation effected by the previous Swamis of the Mutt. No action was taken to set ~~as~~side this alienation within 12 years after the induction of the present Swamigalu to

to the Gadi which is the time allowed by law for contesting such alienations during the life time of the Swamigalu and so no action is possible during this Swamigalu's life time. ^{however} Attempts/will be made to see if the alienees come to some terms with the Mutt, so that they may have protection from action after the present Swamigalu and at the same time the Mutt may be able to realise a reasonable income out of this

estate.

Aggur and Erramballi - These two villages are situated about 16 mls from Madura. The tenure of the lease of these villages having expired on 30th June 1930 action was taken to lease them afresh for an annual rental of Rs 1850/- as against Rs 1600/- that we were getting from the old lease, thus showing an increase of Rs 250/- per annum over the rental till now realised.

TRICHINOPOLY DISTRICT.

Srirangam Coconut Thopes and Niranjalgudi wet lands &c.

There are three coconut thopes measuring 20 acres and 16 cents in Srirangam Town containing about 400 coconut trees besides two big irrigation wells, and wet lands of an extent of 18 acres 55 cents in Niranjalgudi and Angarai villages in Lalguddi Taluk. These properties were yielding a total income of Rs 1775/- per annum. On the application of Mr. S.S. Vyasa Rau who was the Agent of the Swamigalu prior to the assumption of management by Government praying for the lease of these properties along with Srirangam Mutt building, Rasalampatti, Pungambadi and Thirugokarnam lands, he was granted the lease of these properties for a term of 20 years from 1930-31 at an annual rental of Rs 1900/- for the first five years and Rs 2200/- for the remaining period of the lease. By this transaction the Mutt gets eventually an increase of Rs 425/- per annum over the present income.

SRIRANGAM VACANT SITE.

This is a piece of vacant land fit for construction of houses measuring about 23,000 sq.ft. near the Vyasarayya Prindavanam at Srirangam. This plot was lying vacant for a number of years without yielding any income to the Mutt. The Agent inspected the place and proposed that the plot may be divided into building sites and disposed of by sale. This plot may be conveniently divided into 10 sites, ^{the} first nine sites measuring 90 ft. x 27 ft. each and the ~~10~~ tenth 90 ft. x 27 feet. ~~On~~ On the recommendation of the Agent, these sites have been ordered to be sold at Rs 220/- per site for the first nine sites and Rs 138-8-4 ^{ed} for the tenth site and the proceeds invested in immovable properties, as this plot is now yielding no income to the Mutt and the sale is distinctly to its advantage. We may expect to get Rs 2,118/- and odd by this transaction. ~~After obtaining Government sanction for the disposa~~

this transaction. After obtaining Government sanction for the disposal of the sites, the Agent will be instructed to take further needful action in the matter.

DEPOSITS.

A sum of Rs 1500/- is held in deposits in the Sub Judge's Court at Trichinopoly being the compensation amount awarded to the Mutt for lands acquired by the Madras Government for public purposes. As this money was lying idle, the Land Acquisition Officers at whose instance the deposits were made, have been requested to have the deposits withdrawn from the Court and invested in the Imperial Bank of India in Fixed Deposits till we are able to purchase other lands, so that the money might be earning interest till then. The question of investing the funds in Mysore State Deposits and purchasing lands in Mysore for the amount will be investigated.

CHINTALVADI PARTITION SUIT.

Chintalvadi is a Government village lying $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles to the west of Lalapet Railway Station on the Erode-Trichy line. The village is divided into 90 swastvams or shares of which 16 belong to the Mutt. The wet lands in the village have been divided among the shareholders by mutual consent. The dry lands comprising an area of 2088 acres 32 ~~ac~~ cents bearing an assessment of Rs 1040-11-0 are still in the common enjoyment of all the Swastyamdars. A suit for partition and possession has been instituted by ~~me~~^{one} of the Swastyamdars, by name T.S. Sethu Rau, against the others in the District Munsiff's Court at Karur and the Mutt is the first defendant in the suit. Some of the ^{defendants} ~~Repartments~~ in this suit holding a double capacity as cultivating tenants and ~~purcha~~ purchasers of some Swastyam lands from sharers and have set up in their defence occupancy rights in the lands. The plaintiff raised an objection and moved the Court by means of a petition for the amendment of the issues framed by the Court so as to exclude the trial of the issue relating to occupancy rights. His petition was dismissed and he has now moved the Court to grant him time to further proceed with the partition suit, in order to enable him to take the tenancy question in revision to the High Court. The Munsiff has granted time and adjourned the suit sine die pending disposal of the revision petition by

by the High Court. It will be watched and contested when it is taken up for trial.

TINNEVELLY DISTRICT.

Inam Vaghaikulam village

The lease of this village had been granted to Mr. R.S.S.Narayanier for a period of 10 years commencing from 1922 at an annual rental of Rs14000/- exclusive of Government cesses. The accounts of this lessee with the Mutt were in an unsettled condition at the time of the assumption of the management of the Mutt properties by Government. Though the annual lease amount payable by the lessee was Rs13095/- after allowing deduction for interest (at 6½% for Rs10,000/- and 7% on the remaining Rs4000/-) on the deposit of Rs14,000/- made by him when he took up the lease, the lessee was paying only Rs10,000/- on an average every year. The actual cultivators of the village were paying their rent in kind to the lessee every year at the time of the grant of the lease. A few years later, some of them declined to pay in kind and wanted the rent to be commuted to money payment as the price of the paddy was then showing signs of rising. They sued the Mutt and the lessee in the Revenue Courts for commutation. These suits were decided in their favour and commutation was ordered ^{with} ~~in~~ respect to the tenants of about ¼ of the village. The commuted value was calculated at the average of rates prevailing in the three years prior to the date of suit and as then the prices were not so high as when the lease was entered into, the realization by the lessee on this account decreased to a material extent and he set up a claim for rebate in the lease amount in consequence. He also claimed some litigation charges which he stated he had to incur on account of his representing the Mutt in several suits with the permission of the previous Agents of the Mutt. On these pleas he had withheld a sum of Rs12432-14-0 out of the amount that he had to pay to the Mutt for the past 7 years and several attempts by the Mutt to recover ^{this amount or eve} a portion of it had been of no avail. This matter was taken up again and the lessee was sent for and his accounts got audited. He came to terms and a copy of the order regarding the settlement arrived at with the lessee in respect of the arrears due by him is herewith submitted for kind perusal. By this settlement it was possible to get for the Mutt Rs4000/- in full satisfaction of the arrears without going into Court

and it was very doubtful if we could have got even half of this ~~xxx~~ amount by taking the matter to Court and incurring heavy expenditure incidental to litigation in a foreign Court. The lessee has been given a rebate of Rs 1500/- per annum for the next three years of the lease on account of loss that he is likely to sustain by the decree obtained by the tenants in the commutation suits.

MADRAS DISTRICT.

There is a Mutt building in Triplicane which had been leased out by the previous Agents on an annual rental of Rs 250/-. This was considered too low and pressure was brought to bear upon the lessee, Mr. Tirupati Srinivasachar, to increase the lease amount with the result that he has now agreed to take the lease for a period of 20 years on the following terms.

- (1) The rent should be Rs 600/- per annum which will be Rs 350/- over and above what he had agreed to with the previous Agents.
- (2) The lessee will be permitted to execute repairs to the extent of Rs 2500/- at his own cost. He will claim no interest for the said amount nor for the amounts mentioned in Clause 3.
- (3) He should discharge this amount plus the amount of Rs 700/- out of the amount of Rs 1300/- he had advanced to Mr. S.S. Vyasa Rau as per agreement entered into between him and Mr. Vyasa Rau, the remaining Rs 600/- being treated as advance by him to the Mutt for the due fulfilment of the terms of the lease.
- (4) The lessee should pay every year to Government in two instalments in the months of March and September, Rs 200/- per year for the first 8 years, adjusting Rs 400/- of the ^{annual} rent during this period in discharge of the sum of Rs 3200/- mentioned in Clauses 2 and 3.
- (5) From the 9th year onwards up to the end of the period of lease he should pay an annual rental of Rs 600/- in the same two half-yearly instalments as mentioned in Clause 4.
- (6) He should execute the repairs mentioned in Clause 2 after submitting plans and estimate and obtaining previous sanction of the Muzrai Commissioner. The work will be checked after execution and only such amount as is passed after check will be allowed to him. If it falls short of Rs 2500/- promised in Clause 2, he can deduct out of the rent only to that extent.
- (7) The cost of execution of the documents should be borne by the lessee.
- (8) He should in addition pay in proper time the Municipal and other taxes due on the property.
- (9) He should return the property in good condition on the expiry of the period of lease.

- (10) He will be liable for any loss caused to the Mutt by his default in any of the conditions above mentioned.
- (11) He should pay interest at 1 pie per rupee per month or fraction of a month for all overdue payments.
- (12) This does not in any way affect the right of the Mutt to terminate the lease for non-payment of rent.

COIMBATORE DISTRICT?

There is a temple known as "Sri Veera Anjaneyaswami Temple" belonging to the Mutt in Coimbatore which is situated in Chinnakkadai Street, one of the busiest parts of the Coimbatore Town. In front of the temple 5 shops are put up. These shops were found to be in a most dilapidated condition when the Agent inspected them in the month of March 1930. The shops after restoration are likely to fetch a decent income of Rs600/- per annum. The Chairman of the Municipal Council of Coimbatore who was addressed if he could arrange to get the work done under the supervision of the Municipal Engineer, has in his letter No. 679/CC. dated 17-5-30 agreed to supervise the reconstruction of the building provided supervision charges at 2% on the estimated value of the work is paid. An estimate for Rs3000/- prepared by the former Manager of the Mutt has been sent to the Chairman ^{for scrutiny} by the Municipal Engineer and his reply is awaited.

In Anaparapalyam village, which is 3 miles from Coimbatore there is a piece of wet land measuring 2 acres 40 cents belonging to the Mutt. It was in the possession and enjoyment of one Mr. C.V. Vyasa Rau for the past 50 years. It appears he was paying to the Mutt a rent of Rs60/- per annum for some years. But no lease deed had been taken from him and later on he had given up paying the same. The Agent has now managed to secure a written agreement from him in which he has admitted the Mutt's title to the ownership of the land and that ^{he} is only a lessee under the Mutt. The Mutt's claim to the land which would perhaps have been barred by adverse possession has now been restored. The Agent has been asked to take further needful action to get a proper lease deed from him.

CHINGLEPUT DISTRICT.

Chinnappundi Agrahar. Ponneri Taluk.

This property had been leased to Messrs. Ramaswamy Reddi and

Chinna Reddi of Chinnappundi village for a period of 10 years from 30-6-1921 at an annual rental of Rs200/- subject to certain conditions. As the lessees did not pay the lease amount regularly as it fell due ~~in~~ a Promissory Note for Rs1364-~~52~~-0 was obtained from them for the arrears of previous years rental and a suit had been filed against ~~him~~ them in the Trivellore District Munsiff's Court on 18-7-1929. decree was obtained for Rs1610-6-6 in the month of March 1930. Action is being taken to execute the decree and recover the amount.

CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

Kandakur Properties.

These properties consist of Inam lands with a light quit rent as detailed below:-

		<u>Assessment.</u>		
	A.	C.	Rs	As. Ps.
Dry	1229	- 30	317	- 8 - 0
Wet	135	- 75	1012	- 11 - 0
	<u>1365</u>	<u>- 5</u>	<u>1330</u>	<u>- 3 - 0</u>

I give below a brief note on the previous history of these propert^{-ies} leading to the settlement now effected by me. These lands were in the possession of certain Brahmins who were first lessees and later on mortgagees having advanced a sum of Rs14,000/- to one of the predecessors of the present Swami. The mortgagees viz., Rukmini Ammal and Wuddi Narasimhachar were enjoying the lands in lieu of interest and the Mutt was getting no income till 1917 when arrangements were made in G.O No.78-9/Muz.240-17-2 dated 10-7-1917 to wipe off the mortgage debt by leasing out the lands and getting advances from the lessees on certain conditions. In pursuance of these arrangements Messrs. Byppa Reddi and Wuddi Shamachar took the lands in lease for an annual rental of Rs1840/-, Byppa Reddi advancing Rs7000/- to wipe out the mortgage for the said amount in favour of Rukmini Ammal and Shamachar agreeing to wipe out the mortgage debt of his undivided brother Wuddi Narasimhachar. The lessees were permitted to ~~betain~~ retain Rs840/- out of the rental payable by them towards interest on the advance of Rs14000/- made by them at 6% per annum. This arrangement took effect from 1st August 1917. The lessees paid between them Rs3,500/- for the first four years of the lease and afterwards did not pay anything at all for the past 9 years. So after deducting the interest due on their advance they had to pay

pay Rs9,500/- for the succeeding years up to the end of 1929-30. No lease deed had been taken from either of them and no action was also ~~be~~ taken by the former Agents to recover the same or to end the lease and settle their accounts. The whole matter was in confusion when the Government took up the management. The parties were sent for through the intervention of D.Srinivasachar, Professor of Sanskrit, who takes a keen interest in the institution, being a disciple of the Mutt and negotiations were opened. The lessees represented that they derived no income from the lands during the last 9 years owing to the failure of the rains and ^{the} consequent want of supply to the tank on which the lands depended, that the British Government in whose jurisdiction the property ~~was~~ is situate remitted the water rate on the Government land during these years and that they could not pay any amount for these years. So far as our legal position was concerned, we had no documents binding the parties to any payment. So the matter had to be dealt with tactfully. I sent the Agent to Kandakur to ascertain how far their representations could be supported by facts and he after inspection reported that it was true that for several years past the tank did not get adequate supply of water and as a consequence the lands below were lying banjar and were overgrown with prickly pear to remove which and render the lands fit for cultivation would cost over Rs1000/-. Thereupon after considering all the aspects of the case and the legal position, I entered into a compromise, with the parties regarding their dues up-to-date/^{the} terms of which are set forth in the order, copy of which is submitted herewith for information. By this arrangement the Mutt is to get Rs. 3750/- on account of arrears of rent which will be taken ~~xx~~ towards the discharge of Rs14,000/- advanced by the lessees and the lease is cancelled with effect from 1930-31. The mortgage also is cancelled and the amount of Rs10,250/- will be treated as advance for the proper execution of a fresh lease to be entered into with Byppa Reddi's son and the sons of Messrs. Narasimhachar and Shamachar. The terms of the lease are noted in the order. The annual rental is increased to Rs2000/- and the period is fixed at 20 years. Provision is made in the condition attached to the lease for automatic remission in the lease amount on

on the basis of the extent of land which cannot be cultivated in future years owing to failure of water supply in the tank in accordance with the practice ~~is~~ in the Madras Presidency, to avoid future complications in this behalf.

SALEM DISTRICT.

The villages of Kendlampatti in Salem Taluk and Thimmanailampatti in Rasipur Taluk belong to the Mutt. The affairs of these villages were in a most chaotic condition due partly to the mismanagement of the previous Agents of the Mutt and partly to the Mutt being involved in litigation for the past 12 years. No attempts were made to lease out these villages and consequently these properties were fetching no income to the Mutt. The Agent inspected these villages and submitted a report as to the state of affairs relating to the villages. Two factions were working at cross purposes one headed by Venkatanaranappa and the other by Srinivasachar, the ^{latter} ~~former~~ local Agent of the Mutt. Venkatanaranappa had secured a mortgage of the villages from a previous Swami advancing him Rs5250/-. He assigned this mortgage to a Gowdaⁿ from ~~whom~~ ^{whom} he took the villages in lease. Or of the conditions of the mortgage was that the mortgage should pay the Khist amounts. He failed to do so for a number of years, and the villages were brought to sale for arrears of Khist. The Government, when the management of these properties was last with it, paid up the dues (amounting to Rs3000 ^{and}) and issued a notice to Mr. Venkatanaranappa that his right in the property had been extinguished, that his mortgage was discharged and that the property had reverted to the Mutt. The present Swamigalu went to the village in 1916 to establish the revival his right to possession and returned therefrom after issuing some pattas to ryots in token thereof. However Venkatanaranappa would not rest content and was causing trouble and annoyance, when Srinivasachar was appointed as local Agent of the Mutt to fight him out. The latter instead of easing the situation complicated the matters and would not render accounts to the Mutt. He was therefore sued by the previous Agent, Mr. Vyasa Rau for rendering accounts and this suit is pending disposal. To get rid of him Mr. Vyasa Rau employed Venkatanaranappa as his Clerk and directed him to collect money in the village on be-

on behalf of the Mutt. He took advantage of this and began collecting rent from the tenants and setting up again his claim as lessee from the Gounden even though in 1917 alone he was told that he had lost possession, and he had given a statement in writing to Mr. Vyasa Rau that he accepted the ^{position} ~~possession~~ of Clerk under Mr. Vyasa Rau. At this stage the property came to the hands of Government. To get rid of both these disturbing elements the Collector of Salem and the Board of Revenue were addressed to entrust the collection work in the villages to the Village Officers agreeing to pay the usual commission and they agreed to it and issued instructions to the local officers. Thereupon the Village Officers began the collection work. This made the local Agent of the Swami, Srinivasachar feel his position and he came up with a request to lease out the whole property to him. ~~Press~~ Pressure was put on him to get the best terms and to get rid of the pending litigation and in result he agreed to the terms set forth in this office order in this behalf, copy of which is attached hereto. By this arrangement the Mutt gets for old arrears Rs 3000/- and every year an income of Rs 850/- besides freeing itself from the so called mortgage. This amount is a net gain to the Mutt as the cesses &c., are to be paid by the lessee. The only case that has to be conducted in the Salem Courts in connection with these villages is the one relating to the claim set up by the villagers of Kendlampatti for the Perambore land which is the property of the Mutt as Inamdar of the village. This will be contested as it affects the permanent interest of the Mutt.

9
17-6 PROPERTIES LEASED OUT TO MR. A. SRINIVASA RAU.

Properties in Salem, Coimbatore and Trichy Districts comprising of land with a total extent of 426 acres - 41 cents dry and 64 acres - 95 cents wet were grouped together and leased out by the Swamigalu to one Mr. A. Srinivasa Rau for a period of 9 years from 1928-29 at an annual rental of Rs 2500/-. The Government Agent having brought to notice that the annual rental was quite inadequate, considering the extent and the fertility of these lands and that on enquiries made by him it was ascertained that it was possible to realise a much higher

rent, the lessee was sent for and pressure was brought to bear upon him to increase the rent in view of the low rent for which he had secured the lease. He agreed to enter into a fresh contract of lease enhancing the lease amount by Rs1500/- per annum from 1930-31 for a period of 20 years.

PROPERTIES IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICTS OF THE MADRAS PRESIDENCY VIZ.,
N. ARCOT, ANANTHAPUR, BELLARY, CHITTOOR, CHINGLEPUT, KURNOOL, AND
NELLORE DISTRICTS.

Small extents of land belonging to the Mutt are scattered over several Taluks in the above Districts and the collection work in ~~resp~~ respect of these properties was being worked amani. Some of these estates appeared to be poor in quality consisting mostly of dry lands depending on rain water for their cultivation and consequently the collection work was attended with considerable difficulty and the annual realizations did not go to over a thousand rupees. Even out of this amount the major portion was spent in defraying the charges of collection so that the Mutt got practically very little out of them. Large arrears have also occurred. To do the collection work the appointment of a sub- Agent was at first considered necessary and Government sanction was obtained for the same in G.O. No.2125-6/Muz. 275-29-4 dated 17-4-30. Subsequently it was found expedient to lease out the properties to one individual and get a fixed sum for the Mutt yearly. Mr. Krishnamurthyachar of Mulbagal came forward with an offer and it was agreed with him that he should pay an annual rental of Rs1950/- to the Mutt besides a lumpsum in two or three annual instalments^{ts} for the old arrears. He has besides to pay to the British Government the cesses and taxes on the lands. This gives a substantial addition to the income of the Mutt besides removing the uncertainty of the collection piecemeal.

LANDS IN RASALAMPATTI VILLAGE IN THE PUDUKOTAH STATE.

Rasalampatti is a Shrotriam village consisting of 44 Swastayams of which ~~one~~ 1½ belong to the Mutt. The lands have been divided among the Swastayamars and the Mutt gets for its share about 3 acres of wet and 13 acres of dry land. The Agent having brought to notice that these lands had been sold during previous years for arrears of revenue amounting to Rs58/- and ~~old~~ due thereon and resumed to Government

for want of bids, the Pudukottah Durbar was moved in the matter of accepting the dues and cancelling the sale. This was granted. The arrears were paid and the restoration of the Khatte to the Mutt was secured. These lands now form part of the subject matter of the lease granted to Mr. S.S.Vyasa Rau of Srirangam.

23 The Mutt was also getting a cash allowance of Rs12-8-0 per annum on account of Deeparadahane Kanike from the State Funds. But for some years the claim had not been pressed and consequently the allowance fell into arrears. The Durbar have now been moved to settle the question and the matter is now under correspondence.

THIRUSHWEKAM. IMAM.

34 During his tours in the Salem District the Agent came to know that a cash inam called Thirushwekam was due to the Mutt from the Attur Taluk Treasury in respect of the revenues of the villages of Yathapur and Pedanaikanapalayam of that Taluk. The Inam due varies between Rs6/- to Rs10/- per annum and is said to be in arrears for the past 13 years. The claim is being investigated by the Agent and the matter is under correspondence.

THE FINANCIAL POSITION OF THE MUTT.

The financial position of the Mutt as regards its income from outside the State that was brought out by the action taken as narrated above as compared with the position prior to the assumption of the management of the properties by Government about 8 months ago is given in the appended statement. From this statement it is seen that while the net income to the Mutt from the outside properties was Rs19,300/- it has now come up to Rs27,600/- a substantial increase of Rs8,300/- over the old figures. This increased income has been secured from uncertainties attended with piecemeal collection and resort to Law Courts for recovery of small dues. The magnitude of the work can well be gauged by the results achieved.

The outstandings of the Mutt with respect to these properties amount to about Rs36,000/- and they are all in the shape of advances for the proper performance of the conditions of the lease and are to be adjusted

for the rents of the final years of the leases excepting Rs10,250/- advanced ~~to~~ Kandukur lessees which have to be discharged only after 20 years.

The Mutt has been sanctioned a loan of Rs18,000/- from Government for the construction of a new Mutt building at Sosale and the estimate prepared for the same has now been revised to about Rs25,000/- to provide for certain additional accomodation and other ~~attention~~. The total encumbrance comes to about Rs61,000/-. It is therefore necessary to provide a sinking fund for the discharge of this loan out of the income of the Mutt from properties in and outside the State. The yield from the properties inside the State inclusive of the Cash Grant is about Rs16,000/-. Adding to this the net anticipated yield of Rs27600/ from outside properties the total works up to Rs43,600/-. This estimate is ~~based~~ on the assumption that the Kandukur properties whose yield is subject to severe fluctuations as experience of past years has shown give an annual income of Rs2000/-. But it is safer to discount a thousand rupees out of it. The total annual income may ^{be} ~~approximately~~ put at Rs42,000/-. Out of this amount a sum of Rs6000/- ~~has~~ to be spent on the establishment now employed for the management of the properties. About Rs2000/- will have to be set apart for conducting litigation in the outside Courts that are pending and those that have to be ^{launched} ~~launched~~ hereafter. Some amount has to be set apart say at least Rs2000/ a year, for repairs and improvements to the several Mutt properties. There remain Rs32,000/- out of which the allowance to the Swamigalu and the loan sinking fund, reserve, and contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund ~~have~~ to be provided for. To settle this question in consultation with the Swamigalu I met him in Sosale and explained to him the position. He wanted Rs24000/- per year for the expenses of the Mutt. It was pointed out to him that not more than Rs15000/- per year could be spared for this purpose as at least 25% or Rs8000/- had to be kept as Reserve and another 25% as Sinking Fund for the outstandings leaving only a margin of Rs16000/- to be spared for the Mutt expenses. The Swamigalu expressed his utter inability to manage the affairs of the Institution with Rs15,000/- per annum and it was eventually settled that until a sufficient sinking fund is raised for the dis

discharge of the mutt's outstandings, the Mutt should be given an allowance of Rs.20,000/- per year besides the sum of Rs.400/- which the Swamigalu is yearly getting from the Government of Travancore, about Rs.1000/- for contribution to Establishment Fund, Rs.8000/- per year towards the debt sinking fund and the balance of Rs.3000/- as Reserve for unforeseen contingencies. I beg that the orders of Government may be obtained sanctioning the distribution of the income on the above lines.

As I have already observed the affairs of the Mutt were in utter confusion. It was difficult to get reliable information regarding the arrangements made as regards rent and liabilities in respect of the various properties. Many of the transactions entered into even with the approval of the Swami or his Agents were extremely prejudicial to the interests of the Mutt. To settle all these matters has therefore been a task of unusual difficulty and very trying.

In this connection I beg to add that the Special Duty Amildar Mr. Kesava Murthi has spared no pains and worked strenuously during the past 8 months to achieve the results as detailed above and ^{the} services rendered by him in this connection should be suitably recorded. My Assistant Mr. R. A. Narasimha Aiyengar also took great personal interest in the matter, examined the cases in great detail and was very useful to me in unravelling the complicated issues and arriving at a satisfactory settlement. I wish to bring to the notice of Government the services specially rendered by him.

Documents will have to be got executed from the several lessees in legal form and registered according to the law in force in this behalf in the Madras Presidency. This work will engage the Special Duty Amildar for a period of about 3 more months. After this work is finished there will be no need for continuing the services of an Amildar. It will do if ~~they~~ an officer of the grade of a Deputy Amildar is entertained for the work. Proposals for the reduction of the present Establishment will be sent up after watching for a couple of months more.

In view of the good work turned out by Mr. Kesavamurti during this short period of eight months I beg to recommend that he may be sanctioned an extra allowance of Rs.25/- from the date

when he entered on his duties as Agent as in the case of Mr. Anandalwar who is working as Special Duty Officer for the investigation of unauthorised alienations of Muzrai Inams.

I beg also to recommend that the pay of his Clerk which has been sanctioned at R-50/- per mensem in G.O. No.1622-3/Muz.387-28-12 dated 4-2-30 may be enhanced to R. 60/- from the date of his entering on his duties as originally proposed in my letter No.1888/28-29 dated 4-11-29 as his work during the period has also been of an arduous nature and he has risen to the occasion though yet young.

I have kept the Swamigalu informed of all the steps I have taken and he has personally acknowledged to me on more than one occasion that if Government had not taken the property under Government management and dealt properly with the adverse interests at work which he was powerless against the income would have dwindled systematically and this ancient Mutt lost much of its prestige.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[Signature]
MUZRAI COMMISSIONER.

[Signature]

Statement of Income of Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt Properties situated outside the State.

Properties.	Past Income.			Present Income.		
	Rs	As-	Ps.	Rs	As-	Ps.
I. TINNEVELLY DISTRICT.						
(1) Vaghaikulam	10,000	- 0	- 0	12,500	- 0	- 0
(2) Sindhupundarai	200	- 0	- 0	200	- 0	- 0
(3) Keelaputhari	85	- 0	- 0	85	- 0	- 0
II. MADURA DISTRICT.						
(1) Ayyur & Errupatty	1520	- 0	- 0	1700	- 0	- 0
(2) Dramashed	450	- 0	- 0	450	- 0	- 0
(3) Mill Site	662	- 8	- 0	662	- 8	- 0
(4) Flower Garden		nil.		400	- 0	- 0
(5) Site adjacent to Vyasarayapuram Agrahar		nil.		100	- 0	- 0
III. TRICHINOPOLY DISTRICT.						
(1) Srirangam Coccoanut Thopes, Niranjalgudi wet lands, Basalampatti lands &c.	675	- 0	- 0	1025	- 0	- 0
(2) Chintalvadi	1579	- 12	- 0	1579	- 12	- 0
IV. COIMBATORE DISTRICT.						
(1) Dharapuram	150	- 0	- 0	150	- 0	- 0
(2) Dalvoypatna	190	- 0	- 0	190	- 0	- 0
(3) Anuparapalyam		nil.		60	- 0	- 0
V. MADRAS DISTRICT.						
(1) Mutt building in Triplicane.	250	- 0	- 0	200	- 0	- 0
VI. SOUTH CANARA DISTRICT.						
(1) Udipi	100	- 0	- 0	100	- 0	- 0
VII. CHITTOOR DISTRICT.						
(1) Kandakur		nil.		1400	- 0	- 0
VIII. SALEM DISTRICT.						
(1) Kondlampatty and Thimmanaikanpatti		nil.		850	- 0	- 0
IX. PROPERTIES IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICTS.						
	1000	- 0	- 0	1950	- 0	- 0
X. PROPERTIES IN ERODE &c. LEASED OUT TO MR. A. SRINIVASA RAU.						
	2500	- 0	- 0	4000	- 0	- 0
T o t a l.	19,362	- 4	- 0	27,602	- 4	- 0

Rashtreeya
For Muzrai Commissioner.
86

ORDER OF THE MIZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

40

Report dated 31-5-30 submitted by the Government Agent, Sri Vyasarayawami Mutt Properties outside the Mysore State regarding the settlement of arrears due by MR. R. S. S. Naranier, Lessee Inam Vaghaikulam Village after checking his accounts.

Personal representation of Mr. R. S. S. Naranier who is come here in this connection.

No.1979/29-30.

ORDER.

Dated, Bangalore 24-6-30.

After hearing him the following arrangement has been entered into with him in regard to rent paid by him.

As regards Rs 12,432-14-0 with held by him on account of commutation for the past 7 years he will pay Rs 4000/- in three annual instalments commencing from June 1930 with the usual interest charged in such cases viz., 12% and after such payment is recovered in full will be given a full discharge of the above amounts.

As regards the next three years of the lease, the rebate on account of commutation losses will be fixed at Rs 1500/- per annum. Any refunds obtained by him by means of suits in the above suits will be retained by him. The cost of such suits will be borne by him.


As regards future suits, those relating to the permanent interests of the Mutt should be launched by the lessee after submitting a report with an estimate of costs in respect of them, and obtaining the permission of the Mizrai Commissioner before launching the same, when the expenses can be charged against the Mutt. Suits for recovery of rent &c., should vest with the lessee and he should bear the costs of the same.

Sd/- P. G. D'SOUZA,
MIZRAI COMMISSIONER.

To

The Government Agent.

"Copy"


For Mizrai Commissioner.

ORDER OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Reference:-

G.O. No.78-9/Muz.24-13-2 dated 10-7-1917 granting the lease of the Kandakur and Pattemvandlapalli properties belonging to Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt of Sosale, to Messrs Pyppa Reddi of Tholapalli village, Pagepalli Taluk, and Wuddi Shamachar of Kandakur Village, Madanapalli Taluk, for a period of 15 years from 1st August 1917.

Memo dated 20-6-30 from the Government Agent regarding the settlement of the arrears of lease amount due by the lessees in respect of the villages, after inspection of the lands.

Personal representation of Messrs Subba Rau, son of Wuddi Shamachar of Kundakur, Narasimha Murty, son of Wuddi Narasimhachar of Kandakur, and Sadasiva Reddi son of Byappa Reddi of Tholapalli who have come here in this connection.

Order No.1888/28-29.

Dated, Bangalore 7-8-30.

The marginally noted lands situated in Kandakur and Pattemvandlapalli

	Acres	Cents.	Assessment.	
Dry	1229	30	R. 317- 8-0	} villages were leased out to } Messrs Pyppa Reddi and Wuddi } Shamachar for a period of 15
Wet	135	75	R. 1012-11-0	
Total	1365	5	R. 1330- 3-0	

years from 1-8-1917. The old lease in respect of these properties is hereby cancelled ^{with} effect from 30-31 as per the request of the petitioners who are the sons of Shamachar, Narasimhachar and Pyppa Reddy on behalf of Messrs. Shamachar and Pyppa Reddy, and a fresh lease granted in their favour for a period of 20 years from 1-8-30 subject to the following conditions.

- (1) Out of a net sum of R 13000/- due from the lessees whose lease is terminated by this order, excluding a sum of R. 3500/- paid by them up to date, the balance due from them to the Mutt as arrears of lease amounts to R. 9500/-. In consideration of the representations made by the present lessees that the seasonal conditions during the past 11 years have not been satisfactory and the tank did not get an adequate supply of water, a sum of R. 5750/- will be remitted out of the arrears. The balance of R. 3750/- will be deducted out of the deposit of R. 14000/- made by the original lessees with the Mutt as guarantee for the regular payment of the rent. R. 10250/- will be treated as deposit for the lease created by this order.
- (2) The lessees should get the old mortgage deed in favour of Wuddi Shamachar and the deposit receipt in the name of Pyppa Reddi cancelled and the endorsement of cancellation registered and the discharged documents handed over to the Muzrai Commissioner or his Agent at the time of the registration of the new lease deed.
- (3) The lessees should pay annually a rental of R. 2000/- exclusive of all Government cesses, Kandayam, Fasal Jasti, &c.

- (4) The lessees should pay in due time all the dues of the Madras Government in the shape of Khists, cesses, &c., and obtain vouchers for such payments.
- (5) The lessees will be permitted to deduct out of the annual rental of R 2000/- the interest on the deposit amount of R 10250/- at 6% per annum.
- (6) If the tank does not receive adequate supply of water in any year owing to the failure of rains, the lessees should pay rent at R. 20/- per acre in respect of the area actually brought under wet cultivation, such area being based on the statistics furnished by the Departmental Officers of the Madras Government every year. In respect of the area that may be incapable of being cultivated with wet crops owing to the failure of adequate supply of water to be determined as above mentioned, but may be cultivated with dry crops the lessees should pay as gutta an amount equal to the dry assessment at the rate fixed by the Government of Madras in respect of the adjoining dry lands in the locality. This is exclusive of what the lessees have to pay to the Madras Government as per para 4.
- (7) If, in any year, all the lands are cultivated with dry crops and the gutta payable by the lessees during that year is less than the interest for that year due to them on their deposit amount, the difference between the two amounts should be deducted by the lessees in the next year's lease amount.
- (8) The annual rental as determined as above for each year should be paid by the lessees in two equal instalments in the months of July and November of each year.
- (9) Should there be any delay in the payment of the gutta as per para 8 the lessees should pay interest at one pie per cent per month or fraction of a month on all over-due instalments besides being liable to cancellation of the lease at the discretion of the Government and other terms mentioned hereunder.
- (10) The lessees should be jointly and severally responsible for the proper execution of the terms of the lease and the payment of all sums due under the terms of this order.
- (11) The Government on behalf of the Mutt or their representatives in interest will be at liberty to terminate the lease at any time for breach of any of the conditions of the lease and to hold the lessees jointly and severally responsible for any loss that may be sustained by the Mutt by reason of such breach of the conditions of the lease and to deduct such loss from the deposit amount.
- (12) The lessees should hand over the possession of the properties to the Mutt in good condition on the termination of the lease.
- (13) The lessees should bear all the costs of stamps and registration charges necessary for the cancellation of the mortgage deed and the execution and registration of the new lease deed.

The Government Agent of Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt is requested to take immediate steps to get the lease deed drafted on the above lines and submit it for the approval of the Muzrai Commissioner.

To Government
The Agent of Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt.

Sd/- P.G.D'SOUZA,
MUZRAI COMMISSIONER.

"Copy"

[Signature]
For Muzrai Commission

ORDER OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

42

Reference:-

Memo dated 12-5-30 from the Government Agent of Sri Vyasarayaswami Mu. of Sosale regarding the affairs of Inam Thimmanaikampatti and Kondlampatti villages, after inspection of the villages.

Oral representation of Mr. K. Srinivasachar of Salem who has come here in this connection, offering to take up the lease of the above villages.

Order No.

Dated, Bangalore, -8-30.

Mr. K. Srinivasachar has agreed to take up the lease of the above villages which at present yield an annual income of Rs.2600/- subject to the following conditions as per his mutchalika dated 20th August 1930.

- (1) The lease of the above villages will be granted to Mr. K. Srinivasachar for a period of 20 years commencing from the year 1930-31 subject to the conditions mentioned hereunder.
- (2) The lessee should pay to the Mutt annually a rental of Rs.850/- in addition to the dues of the Madras Government in the shape of taxes, khists, cesses &c., on the above villages and the Jodi of R. 399-12-3 due to the Mittadar of Pallapatti in respect of the Kondlampatti village.
- (3) The rent should be paid before the 30th June of each year.
- (4) Should there be any delay in the payment of the rent as per para 3 the lessee should pay interest at one pie per rupee per month or fraction of a month on all overdue payments made by him, besides being liable to the cancellation of the lease at the discretion of the Government.
- (5) This condition applies even for default in payment on the due date of the dues of the British Government and of the Mittadar of Pallapatti leading to action against the Mutt properties.
- (6) With regard to the arrears of rent amounting to nearly Rs. 4000/- due from the tenants of the above villages, the lessee should pay to the Mutt a lumpsum of Rs. 3000/- in three equal annual instalments at the rate of Rs. 1000/- per annum viz., on or before the 30th June of 1931, 30th June of 1932, and 30th June of 1933, along with the lease amount.
- (7) All expenses incidental to the collection of arrears referred to in para 6, as well as the current demand should be borne by the lessee himself.
- (8) The liability on the part of the lessee to pay Rs. 3000/- on account of old arrears exists whether he has been able to collect the arrears of rents from the tenants or not.
- (9) Should there be any delay in the payment of any instalment of the arrears as per para 6, the lessee will be liable to the same penalties mentioned in para 4 supra.

V³

- (10) For the proper fulfilment of the conditions of the lease, the lessee should deposit with the Muzrai Commissioner a sum of R 1000/- out of which he has already paid R 400/-. The balance of R 600/- should be paid by him before the end of December 1930. Should there be default in the payment of this amount, the sum of R 400/- now deposited by the lessee will be forfeited and the lease cancelled.
- (11) Out of the deposit of R 1000/-, R 850/- will be treated as security deposit for the payment of the annual rent and the balance of R 150/- as a guarantee for the proper payment of the arrears as per para 6. After the arrears are fully paid up, the lessee will be allowed to deduct the sum of R 150/- out of the rental of the year in which the arrears are fully satisfied.
- (12) The lessee will be allowed to deduct from the annual rent interest at the rate of 6% per annum on the deposit amount of R 850/-.
- (13) The lessor is entitled to deduct out of the deposit of R 850, made by the lessee any loss that may be caused to the institution by the default of the lessee in any of the conditions mentioned in this order or otherwise due to his act and the lessee will have to make good such amount to make up R 850/- if the loss occurs during the currency of the lease. Any amount that may remain to the credit of the lessee after the deductions as noted above will be taken to discharge the lease due for the last year of the lease and the lessee is liable to pay the balance before the expiry of the lease.
- (14) The lessee should himself bear the charges on account of the repairs to the channel in Kondalampatti village if the cost thereof does not exceed R 30/-. Should the cost exceed this amount, the lessee should submit a proper estimate and obtain the sanction of the Muzrai Commissioner before commencing the work. If he fails to obtain such sanction and executes the repairs, the cost of such repairs will have to be borne by himself.
- (15) The lessee should hand over possession of the villages in good condition on the termination of the lease.
- (16) The Government on behalf of the Mutt or their representatives in interest will be at liberty to proceed against the movable and immovable properties belonging to the lessee for recovering any loss or damage sustained by the Mutt in consequence of the failure on his part to conform to any of the above conditions, without in any way affecting their right to forfeit the deposit amount and cancel the lease at their discretion.
- (17) All charges incidental to the execution and registration of the lease deed should be borne by the lessee.

The Government Agent of Sri Vvasarayaswami Mutt is requested to take immediate steps to get the lease deed drafted on the above lines and to submit the same for the approval of the Muzrai Commissioner.

Sd/- P.G.D'SOUZA,
MUZRAI COMMISSIONER.

To
The Government Agent.

"COPY"


For Muzrai Commissioner.

W. G. S.

Key 116-30-2 (44)
MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

31st
74



Rajakanyapradipika

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

The Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

In continuation of this office letter No.1935/21-01 dated the 2nd September 1930, I have the honor to submit herewith a ---- consolidated statement showing the estimated income and expenditure proposed to be incurred from the funds of the Sri Yagacharyaswari -- aatha at Gosale T. Marsiour Taluk. The main basis for some of the larger items both on the income and expenditure side has been dealt with in detail in my previous letter. There are a few other minor items which I shall explain briefly: In Government Order No.1011-12/1.2.15-2 dated the 20th January 1927 sanction has been ---- accorded to incur the following expenditure.

- | | | |
|---|----|-------|
| 1. Contribution for Darsa Chakras
in the Sri Rameswara Temple,
Bangalore City | .. | 35/- |
| 2. Santarpane at Hirakudlu Gundig
Kathotsava of Sri Ganga -
Kowdi temple | .. | 100 - |
| 3. Dwaiva Vedanta Matsala | .. | 50 - |
| 4. Contribution to R. S. S. Hall, Bangalore | .. | 34/- |

As to items 1, 2, 3 & 4 refer to the above but have not been claimed for several years. These include the following:

(2) As regards India Vedant schools, the following are the
that there is no trace of a school of Vedant in the
of the literature of India. This is the result of the

3. The following new items have been included in the proposed statement.

1. Anjaneyaswami temple at Malkurke Tiptur Taluk. Rs.62/
2. Gopalakrishnaswami and Anjaneyaswami temple)
at Tirthahalli Branch Matha) " 109,
3. Anjaneyaswami temple at Kondrajanahalli " 24/

(i) It is reported that a sum of Rs.62/- was being paid from the collections of Malkurke village belonging to the matha for the --- Mathotsava and Deeparadhane expenses of the temple. As the Swamigalavaru recommends the payment of this amount, this has now been proposed

4. Government in reply to this office recommendation to incur expenditure of Rs.100/- in the Branch Matha at Tirthahalli stated in their letter No.571/1.F.42-27-3 dated the 16th August 1928 that this scale of expenditure may be sanctioned by the undersigned. This item also has been now included.

5. The annual expenditure of Rs.24/- sanctioned by G.O.No.8.Dis.46/30-31 dated 29th August 1930 on the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District to the archak of Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Kondrajanahalli Sidlaghatta Taluk along with the inam lands endowed to the institution belonging to the matha has also been included in the statement.

The revised proposals as per statement herewith submitted may kindly be approved.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Rajagopal

Muzrai Commisscher:

Proceedings of the Govt. of H.H.the Maharaja
of Mysore.

Income:--Rs. 42,000 approximately

Expenditure	
Establishment--	Rs.6000
Litigation	2000
Repairs	2000
Contribution	1000
to M.E.Fund	8000
Debt Sinking Fund	
Allowance for	
Mutt management	20000
Reserve for unfore-	
seen contingencies	3000
Total	42000

Read:--

G.O.No. 859-60/Muz. 389-28-7 dated 28-10-29 directing the assumption by Govt. of the management of the properties belonging to the Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt of Sosale outside the State.

Letter No. 1888/28-29 dated 2-9-30 from the Muzrai Commissioner submitting a brief report of work done so far in the several districts of the Madras Presidency, wherein the properties of the Mutt are situated and recommending sanction to the items detailed hereunder:--

(i) disposal of the vacant land measuring about 23000 square feet belonging to the Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt near the Vyasaraya Brindavanam at Srirangam for construction of houses in the manner therein proposed.

(ii) the distribution of the income of the Mutt on the lines in paragraph 27 of the report and also noted in the margin.

(iii) the grant of an extra allowance of Rs.25/- to Mr. Keavvamurti from the date of his entry on duty as Govt. Agent

(iv) enhancement of the pay of the clerk sanctioned at Rs. 50/- in G.O.No. 1622-3/Muz 389-28-12 dated 4-2-1930 from Rs.50 per month to Rs.60 p.m. with effect from the date of his entering on his duties.

Order No.

1051-2
116 30-2 87-11-30

Government are pleased to sanction the several recommendations of the Muzrai Commissioner referred to above.

In view of the retrenchment to be effected shortly, the provision of Rs.6000/- for the staff is capable of considerable reduction. Proposals in regard to this should be submitted early for the orders of Government.

Subject to approval

It is further observed that the Mutt's liabilities come to about Rs.61,000/-.

The question of increasing the contribution to the debt-sinking fund involving a proportionate reduction in the allowance to the Mutt may be investigated further

2.

and a report submitted in the course of the next three months after watching details of expenditure whether the sum originally proposed by the Muzrai Commissioner, viz., Rs. 16,000 will not suffice for the efficient management of the affairs of the Muzrai institution.

Government are pleased to place on record the valuable services rendered by Messrs. Kesavamurti and Narasimha Iyengar so far in the matter

VS
3.11.30

Secretary to Govt.
Law Dept.

To

The Muz. Commr.
The Comptroller.

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the 193

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....
Mr V. S. Sastri

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

K2

Section 1743

File No. 116-30

Serial No. 5

No.

1462

To

M.C.

Sir,

With reference to letter

No 1888/28-29 of 1.11.30

concerning
submitting a statement

showing of the estimated
income and expenditure

to be incurred from

the funds of the Sri

Vyasnayaswami Math

at So-late T. Narayana

TR, I am directed to

invite attention to

to No 1051-2 of 7.11.30

Reg. 116-30-3

[over

much, like a great

h. Sub with ~~mission~~ ^{to} seals
landed / at on the / distance about
... ^{is} They ~~are~~ able -

of every action
in large points
... them

7 am. etc

12.30
4

2.15
See 10.50

17 Nov. 71 H. H. H.

3/11,

bring out a new
... in the new
in ~~... ...~~
... in ... is
new explicit +

No. 1888/28/9.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 7th January 1931.

FROM

Y. Narasinga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

~~SRI RAO GOPALAKRISHNA, Esq., B.A., B.L.,~~Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
(In charge.)

To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore

FINANCIAL

Department.

SIR,

Muz 116-30-8

With reference to your letter No.1462/Muz.116-30-5 dated the 3rd January 1931, I have the honor to state that the income from all the properties of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matha has been taken ----- approximately at Rs.42,000/- a year in the Government Order No.1051-2 Muz.116-30-3 dated the 7th November 1930, while in the consolidated

			statement now sub-
1. Cesses etc., payable to Govt.	1280-4- 2	)	mitted the income has
2. Potige due to village servants	751-8- 0		
3. Bangalore Branch Matha	202-0- 0	)	been arrived at -----
4. Seringapatam do	120-0- 0		
5. Tumkur do	60-0- 0	)	Rs.46040-1-9 based on
6. Tirthahalli do	109-0- 0		
7. Anjaneyaswami temple Kondrajan) halli, Sidlaghatta Tk.)	24-0- 0	)	an average of 3 years
8. Allowance to the clerks of Muzrai) Comrs.office sanctioned in G.O.) No.1013-14/ M.F.389-28-10 d/ 18-11-29.)	240-0- 0		
9. do recommended in this office) letter No. C.1888 d/1-12-30.)	120-0- 0	)	collections including cash grant of Rs.3977-
	62-0- 0.		
(10) Halkurke Anjaneyaswami	Rs. 2968-12- 2		12-0 a year. There
			is thus an increase

of Rs.4040/-. The additional expenditure now proposed amounting to Rs.2968-12-2 as noted in the margin may be met from the above sum of Rs.4040/- leaving the balance to go to raise the reserve from Rs.3000 set a part in the Government order referred to above to Rs.4151-5-7.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Y. Narasinga Rao

Muzrai Commissioner
In Charge.

1/12/31
30-10

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter no. 1888 of 1928-29 dated the 13th January 1931 soliciting sanction to a consolidated statement of expenditure of the Sri Vyasa rayaswami mutt, I am directed to forward herewith representation No. 247 dated 9th January 1931 in original (to be returned with your reply) from the Swamigalu for arrangements being made to place at his disposal a monthly cash grant of Rs. 333 and odd in the Mysore Bank, Ltd., Bangalore and to enquire if any consideration may be given to the request in view of the resources of the Institution being ~~kk~~ slightly better than the original anticipation.

I am also to invite your attention to the observations made in G.O. No. 1050-2/muz.116-30-3 dated 7th Nov. 1930 and to request you to submit your final proposals for the consideration and orders of Government

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,



-7-0 Secretary to Government,
Q-3 Financial Department.

C.R.G.

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the

193 .

Date of issue..... 30.1.1931

Signed by..... *Dr. V. P. Sastri*

Soliciting number

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section *my*File No. *116-30*Serial No. *10*No. *1707 June 1*

To

The M.C.

Sir,

*Correspondence in
with ref^{ce} to your letter**No. 1888 / 28-29 of 13.1.31**to the effect of statement of
the matter of the income and**Expenditure of the Sri Vyasa**Swami Math, I am directed**to forward herewith a**representation No 247 of 9.1.31**(the enclosed with you)**in original from the Swamiji**and about the maintenance of the**Cash grant of Rs 333 per m^o in**the Mysore Bank**to be closed. and to enquire**of any consideration may be**[over]**to bring your attention to
Go. No. 1087-2 / my 116-30-3
dated 7-11-30 and**for arrangement being**made to place them**in disposal a monthly**and to enquire*

due to the request in view of the
resources of the Institution being

in view of you are
an observation made in
of 27.12.1930
and brought you a which

Slightly better than ^{the original anticipated} were anticipated.
~~The conclusion drawn by be referred to the report~~
in case etc

A final stage of the
after the
The present report
the reference
to be effected in
the staff and
the points
reference to you
in the report in
the 8.2.1941
may be sent
up for the
of the
your report

27/1/31
to see how one
I hope

85
2671

Subject to
apply

55
No 1927 / muz 116-30-14
Enc 3

5th March 31.

The

Financial XXXXXX

The Muzrai Commissioner,
Bangalore.

In continuation of this office letter No. 1707 dated 30th January 1931, I am directed to forward herewith in original for early disposal and report representations No. 260 dated 3rd Feb. 1931 and 278 dated 16th February 1931 from the Swamikalu of the Sri Sosale Vyasarayawami Mutt in the matter of payments to be made to the mutt.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Suhahmanyasastri

For Secretary to Government,
S-S Financial Department.

C.R.G.

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the

193 .

Date of issue.....5.3.1931.....

Signed by.....*W. S. S. S. S.*.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....*W. S. S. S. S.*.....

Examined by.....

Section *mm3*File No. *116-30*Serial No. *14*No. *1927*
Que 3.

To

*Misc**hi,*

In Continuation of
Office letter no 1707 of 30-1-31
I am directed to forward
herewith in original for
~~submission to the~~
early disposal and report

Representations no 260 of 3-2-3
and 278 of 16-2-31 from the
Swamigale of the Sri Sankar
Vignaraya Swami Math in
the matter of payments to be
made to the Math

I have etc

W. S. S. S. S.
over
W. S. S. S. S.
Hoeph

55
7/11/31

1048. 1915. 1272

1915. 16-3-15
DEWAN'S OFFICE 57

1931

Order

No 1612

NAME OF PETITIONER His Honours

The Swamiji of Sri Vyasaraja

VILLAGE Vyasaraja Mutt.

TALUK D. Narasipur

DISTRICT Mysore

DATE OF PETITION 13.3.31

DATE OF RECEIPT 17.3.31

NO. OF ENCLOSURES nil

ABSTRACT.

Prayer for the enhancement
of the annual grant
to the Mutt for the
conduct of Nitya
Kattale, Samanadhana
eli., to a sum of
Rs 24000/-

Submitted

Per 17/3 92

With compliments
Bo

Chengamanna

PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO THE DEWAN OF MYS.

App. F. G. 9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No...2.1.2.9/5001

The accompanying ^{Representation} ~~Petition~~ Registered

No...300..... dated.....13/3/31

received at the General and Revenue

Secretariat from...The Swamigal

of the Sri Vyasanj. Mutt

stating that the sum of Rs

20,000/- allowed for

managing the affairs of

the mutt is hardly

enough & that the same

be raised to Rs 24,000

under the circumstances

set forth therein

is forwarded, together with its enclosures

to the...M.C.

.....for...for
in continuation of this office
letter no 1927 of 5/3/31

By Order,

27.3.31

Secretary. H. S. for

BANGALORE,

26/3/1931

[P. T. O.]

[illegible]

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

Secretary.


No. 1888
28-9

Muz 116-3
OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

22nd June 1931
23

FROM

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.



TO

Rajasevaparayana

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore,

Financial

Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 2123/L.F.116-30-16 dated the 30th March 1931, forwarding for report, the Sree Mukha from the ----- Swamigalavaru of the Sri Vyasaarayaswami Matha requesting for an ----- allowance of Rs.4000/- for meeting the annual Mahasamaradhane expenses over and above the sum of Rs.20,000 sanctioned in Government Order --- No.1051-2/L.F.116-30-3 dated 7th November 1930, I have the honor to state as follows:-

The Swamigalu has all along been representing that the sum of Rs.20,000/- sanctioned for the cult expenses is not sufficient to cover the monthly charges, as also Hechukatle and Mahasamaradhane expenses and that at least another Rs.4000/- is necessary for the purpose. He was duly informed of the order of the Government that the annual ----- expenses of the cult should be limited to Rs.16,000/- as provision had to be made for Reserve, Debt sinking fund etc., from out of the income for the year. The Government Agent had also been sent to meet the Swamigalu and explain to him the position in detail. The Swamigalu represents that he has only maintained the minimum establishment ----- consistent with the position and prestige of the Matha stating that it is impossible to meet the expenses connected with the cult and the daily santarpans and the Hechukatle and Mahasamaradhane expenses within the sum of Rs.20,000 sanctioned by Government. The matter was sent for and the whole position was discussed in detail. He has in consultation with the Swamigalu, written to suggest that an additional allowance of Rs.2000/- may be granted for the year. It appears from the several letters of the Swamigalu that

the discussion with the mutt agent that heavy expenditure has been --
incurred during the year which could not be avoided and that if this
additional allowance is not sanctioned, the mutt would be much -----
embarrassed. In these circumstances I recommend that the request of
the Swamigalu may be granted by sanctioning an additional allowance
of Rs.2000/- for this year.

Early orders of Government may be kindly obtained in the ----
matter.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A. Majumdar

Muzrai Commissioner. —

M.

No 40
Muz

8th July 1931
2th

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

With reference to your letter No.1888 of 28-29 dated 23rd June 1931 in the matter of the enhancement of allowance to the swamigalu of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt, I am directed to invite reference to this office letter No.1707/Muz. 116-30-10 dated 30th January 1931 and to request you to be so good as to expedite the submission of information on the several points raised therein.

I have the honour to be,

sir,

Your most obedient servant,

V. Subrahmanyasastry

Financial Secretary to Government.

95

SUBJECT.....

62 (b.)

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

1705

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the

193

Date of issue.....

9.7.31

Signed by.....

Mr. A. S. Lathi

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

Huz

File No.

Serial No.

No. 40

To

H-c

Li.

With ref. to my letter

No. 1888 of 28-29 & 28/6/3

in the matter of the enhancement

of allowance to the Swami, a

Bau Sri Vyasanaraswami Math,

I am directed to invite ref. to

to this office letter no. 1707/

Huz Hb-30-10 & 30.1.1931

and to request you to be so

good as to expedite the

Submission of ^{information} ~~report~~ on the several

points raised therein to

Dr. Am. etc


[over]

E.S.
7/2.For Sec. to Govt.
H. Dept.

No. 1888
28-9.OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,Bangalore, 6th July 19319


63

FROM



S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.



To

Rajasevaparayana

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., I.L., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore,

Financial Department.

116-30-18

SIR,

Referring to para 2 of G.O. No. 1051-2/1 an. 116-30-3 dated 7th November 1930 calling for proposals for reducing the present establishment of the Sri Vyasaraja Latha agency office, I have the honor to state that in February last the Swamigalu also wrote to me that the expenditure incurred on the agency establishment was heavy and that an agent of the grade of a Taluk Sheristedar may be appointed. In reply I informed the Swamigalu that even though the expenditure was capable of reduction, yet it was desirable to continue the present arrangement for some time till all the important pending questions were brought to a settlement and the experience gained by Mr. Kesavamurti utilized to the fullest extent. I expected that it would be possible to settle all the outstanding questions before the end of June 1931; but the complicated nature of the affairs connected with the Jodi villages of Kondalampatti and Chinnaiyanaipatti in the Salem District, the lease of the land Vaggaikulam village and the several suits connected with it, the default made by Mr. Srinivasa Rao, Lessee of the Latha properties in the Salem, Coimbatore District in the payment of the lease amount and the obstruction caused by Mr. Vyapa Reddy in respect of the lease of the land at Chinnaiyanaipatti and many other important matters of a similar nature have attracted the attention of the Government and it is imperative that the Government should have knowledge of all these matters. Moreover, I have to look out for a competent official of the grade of a Taluk Sheristedar who can carry out the duties of the agent of the agency office.

to be brought to a successful
conclusion.
In view of the above, I consider it
advisable to retain the service
until the end of September.
I am, Sir, Sir,

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. N. Rajag

Muzrai Commissioner.
7/10

SUBJECT

65

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Sec. Muz
File No: 116-30
Serial No. 19

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Date of issue.....

30/7/31

Signed by.....

Mr V. S. Sastri

K

May this be

- (i) Printed ?
- (ii) treated as a standing order ?
- (iii) published in the Gazette,
English Part ?
- (iv) translated ?
- (v) placed at the disposal of the press ?
- (vi) published in the Gazette,
Kannada Part ?

FUD

Para 2 of no

1051-2 / Muz 116-30-3

of 7.4.30 caring for

proposals for recruiting

the staff employed

in the Sri Porale Vyasa

~~Order Thereon No.~~Mute Agency
office.

2) Letter no 1888/

28-29 of 9.7.31 from

the M.C. Shaniing that
in response to the letter
recd in Feb last

he has informed the

Swami gale of the Sri Vyasa

Hall of the desirability

Continuing for some time

The present arrangement for
~~the~~ managing the properties
 of the Mule situated outside
 the State and that he is
 on the look out for a competent
 official of the grade of a TK
 Shastadar to be eventually
 appointed as Const. Agent,
 adding that it is necessary
 in the interests of the said Mule
 to retain the services of
 Mr. Kesavenurthi till
 the end of Sep^r 1931 as
 several claims & books
 which he is attending to
 are expected to claim
 a successful termination
 by this time and requesting
 approval to ^{this} action

~~Letter by him~~

159-61-30-7-31.

Appd The continuance
 of the services of Mr. Kesavenurthi

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. App F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

the end of Sep^r 31⁶⁷
is sanctioned

27.7.31

For See before
H. Dept

me, 27-7-31

24/7

To

me M- C

me R- C

me Camp


No. 1888/28-9.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMIS ON
IN MYSORE,
Muz 116-30-20 (68
Bangalore, 7th August 1931.
8

FROM

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.



TO

Rajasevasparayana
P. Srinivasa Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore,

Finance and Revenue Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter of 24/7/31, in which you mention
1931 in regard to the sanction of an additional staff of 100, - 100
the Sri Vyasarajaswami Math, I am glad to hear that you have
for the revision of the same to the Government of Mysore.
of the description relating to the same I have already
be reviewed and revised and will be submitted to the Government.

A separate reference of the same to the Government of Mysore
in present case of the same to the Government of Mysore, and
of Government of Mysore to the Government of Mysore, and
establishment of the same to the Government of Mysore.

The Government of Mysore has already sanctioned the same
has indicated the same to the Government of Mysore, and
presents that the same to the Government of Mysore, and
be also sanctioned.

I am, Sir, very truly,
Yours faithfully,
S. P. Rajagopalachari.


S. P. Rajagopalachari

No. 1888
28-9.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 7th. August, 1931

116-30-21-



S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Rajasevaparavara
C. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

SIR,

With reference to G.O. No. 1051-2/107.116-30-3 dated 7-11-30 requesting for the submission of proposals re: reduction in the staff engaged for looking after the properties of the Sri Venkayya Mutt outside the State, I have the honor to propose that Mr. N. S. Surappa, acting Assistant Registrar of Co-operative Societies and appointed as Government Agent on his own pay of Rs. 125/- in place of Mr. Rajaseva Murti who is now paid Rs. 150+75 per month.

Mr. Surappa is in the list of candidates eligible for a B.A. and was formerly acting as Deputy Assistant Registrar, but for the recent reversions among acting Assistant Registrars Mr. N. S. Surappa would have continued to remain in the Revenue Department. He is willing to take up this service for some time as he is desirous of getting a B.A. within the next year or so and not very hopeful.

Mr. N. S. Surappa is a graduate and has passed all the local tests including Civil parts I & II. He is a Deputy Assistant Registrar and has acquired the necessary experience in revenue work and can act as an executive officer for a fairly long period. His opinion that he is quite suitable for the place.

Mr. Surappa requests that he may be allowed the following facilities:—
Following rates of travelling allowance:—
First Class fare, mileage of Rs. 0-3-0 and daily allowance of Rs. 10-0-0. It is to be noted that he is not allowed any allowance for his private expenses as he is now on his own pay of Rs. 125/- and is not entitled to any facilities to maintain his establishment at his private residence.

70

When travelling there for inspecting the Mutt properties I am of opinion that his request is very reasonable and that the rates of travelling Allowance detailed above may be kindly sanctioned.

It is very desirable that Mr. Surappa should be associated with Mr. Kesava Murti for at least a fortnight before the latter is relieved as there is a good number of Civil and Revenue Cases pending before the Courts, with which he should make himself familiar before Mr. Kesava Murti is relieved. I therefore request that orders of Government may be kindly obtained very early to the above proposal as I wish to associate Mr. Surappa immediately with Mr. Kesava Murti. This will enable me to relieve Mr. Kesava Murti by about the middle of September next.

This arrangement will effect a saving of about Rs 2500/- per annum under pay, contribution and travelling Allowance &c.; and I shall also consider what other retrenchments will be possible without impairing the efficiency of the staff. Mr. Surappa's appointment may be kindly sanctioned for a period of 3 years. On account of the fall in rent & the financial position of the Mutt is not satisfactory. I recommend that Pension contribution on his account may be ~~waived~~ kindly waived for a period of 3 years.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. S. Rajag

Muzrai Commissioner.

ch

No. 1888
28-9

\$No. 173
11.8.30


Meeg 116-30-22
OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE, 

Dated, Bangalore,.....9th August.....1931.
10.

FROM

S.P. Rajagopalachari Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore

To Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department, Bangalore.



SIR,

In continuation of this office letter No. 1888/18-29
dated 8/8/31. recommending the appointment of Mr. M.S.
Surappa as Government Agent of Sri Vyasarayaswami Matha, and the rever-
sion of Mr. H. Kesava Murthi from his special duty, I have the honor
to state that Mr. Kesava Murthi has applied for the grant of two
months privilege leave from the date of his relief and requested that
he may be allowed to draw during his leave period his present remunera-
tion including the deputation allowance.

2. As the affairs of the Mutt were not in an orderly
state at the time he took over charge, Mr. Kesava Murthi had to work
very hard and undertake prolonged tours to settle several complicated
questions and bring things to a more orderly state. His services in
this connection have been kindly recognised by Government in their
order No. 1051-2/Muz.116-30-3 dated 7th November 1930. His request
to be permitted to draw his pay and allowances during his leave period
deserves therefore favourable consideration. I recommend that he may
be kindly sanctioned leave accordingly.

3. So far as Government are concerned, this involves no
extra cost as only his regular pay will be debited to Government and
the extra cost of Rs.150/-, at Rs.75/- per mensem, will be from the
Matha. In view of the valuable services rendered by him to the Mutt
during his deputation period of 21 months since January 1930, this
small additional expenditure may be incurred by the Matha.

2.

4. I therefore request early orders of Government sanctioning him two months' privilege leave on his present emoluments. He will revert to his place as acting Amildar on the expiry of his leave.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. N. Rajagopal
Revenue Commissioner.

160-30-23.
OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER

IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 12th August 1931

FROM

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

14 AUG. 1931

To

Rajasevaparayana

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore,

Financial Department.

SIR,

(81) In continuation of this office letter No. C.1888 dated 8th August 1931, submitting proposals for reducing the cost of the Agency Establishment for the management of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Iutt Properties outside the State, I have the honor to state that owing to the rental of the Vaghaikulam properties having gone down from Rs 14,000/- to Rs 6,200/- per annum, and also of some fall in the yield from the other properties, the income from the Iutt from properties from both inside and outside the State will not, in the near future, exceed about Rs 34,000/- per annum, as against Rs 42,000/- stated in the concluding para of this office letter dated 2nd September 1930. It is therefore necessary suitably to revise the scale of expenditure, so as to keep it within the present income.

(81) As explained in the enclosed statement, the several items of expenditure, exclusive of allowances to the Iutt, come to a total of about Rs 16,000/-. Provision has been made for all the necessary items. There is at present, a liability to the extent of Rs 10,000/- which represents security deposits furnished by the Iutt to several properties. This amount is to be adjusted on the Iutt's year's quota. As a matter of fact, similar losses will be met by the income from fresh losses. This is not therefore a cash liability which cash payments will have to be made to the creditors. However, a provision has been made for the interest on the deposits for the year, so as to bring the amount of interest within the income of the deposits. The amount has been set aside for the year 1931-32.

14

2.

the Government for the construction of new Lutt buildings at Soslai. When the loan is drawn from Government, suitable provision will have to be made for the repayment of the loan. A sum of Rs 3,000/- is therefore now provided as sinking fund to meet these demands.

A sum of 2,000/- is provided for repairs and improvements. A sum of 1,600/- is required for meeting the recurring charges in connection with the institutions inside the State, including the percentage contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund on the income of the Mysore properties. This leaves nearly a balance of Rs 19,000/- for meeting the demands of the Svanigalavaru. It appears also desirable to hold a small Reserve for unforeseen items.

A communication has been addressed to the Svanigalu explaining the present financial position and the necessity to limit the expenditure in the Lutt to Rs 16,000/- per annum.

I request that the scale of expenditure, enclosed herewith, may be kindly sanctioned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Narayana

Muzrai Commissioner.

He

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

R e a d:-

Letter No.1888/28-29 dated 8th August 1931, from the
Muzrai Commissioner, requesting sanction to an additional
grant of Rs.2,000/- to the Swamigalu of the Sri Sosalu
Vyasaraya Mutt for 1930-31.

2. Letter No.1888/28-29 dated 8th August 1931,
from the same Officer requesting sanction to the appoint-
ment for a period of two years of Mr. P.C. Surappa Acting
Assistant Registrar of Co-operative Societies as Govern-
ment Agent of the Sri Sosalu Vyasarayaswami - utt (in place
of Mr. H. Keshavamurthy) on his own pay of Rs.125/- per
mensem but with the following rates of Travelling Allowance
during his deputation:-

1 ½ times the Second Class fare, 3 annas mileage with
daily allowance as per rules and recommending also that
pension contribution on account of Mr. P.C. Surappa may be
waived.

3. Letter No.1888/28-29 dated 10th August 1931,
from the Muzrai Commissioner, requesting orders of Govern-
ment sanctioning the grant of 2 months privilege leave of
absence on his present emoluments (Rs.150 plus 75/-) to
Mr. L. Keshavamuorthy prior to his reversion to the Revenue
Department.

ORDER No. 289-92/mur 116-30-24

Dated, Bangalore, the 13th August 1931.

Government are pleased to sanction the several requests
of the Muzrai Commissioner, subject to the following modifi-
cations:-

- (1) The additional allowance to the Swamigalu for 1930-31 will be Rs.1,000/- only.

- (2) The appointment of Mr. N.S. Surappa as agent of the Mutt and concessions recommended in his favour in the second of the letters read above are approved as a tentative measure for six months.
- (3) The privilege leave to be given to Mr. Meshavamooty on his present emoluments will be limited to 1/11th of his acting service put in as Agent of the Mutt.

Sukhanarayanaiah
 for Secretary to Government,
 S.S. Financial Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.
 " Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
 " Registrar of Co-operative Societies in Mysore.
 " Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

.R.7.
 19/8.

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to ¹⁶⁵₁₆₈ 173

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193 . }

Date of issue . . . 19 . 8 . 31

Signed by . . . Mr. V S

May this be

- (i) Printed ?
- (ii) treated as a standing order ?
- (iii) published in the Gazette,
English Part ?
- (iv) translated ?
- (v) placed at the disposal of the press ?
- (vi) published in the Gazette,
Kannada Part ?

Sec. ²⁴

File No. 116-30

Serial No. ~~24~~

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Letter no 1888/28-29
of 8/8/1931 from the
M.C. requesting sanction
to an additional grant
of Rs 2000/- to the Swamiji
of the Sri Soralu Vyasa

Order Thereon No.

Made, for 1930-31

2) Letter no 1888/28-29
of 8/8/1931 from the
same office requesting
sanction to the appointment
for a period of two years
of Mr. M. S. Anappa

By Asst. Secy. C.S. as per
order of the Sri Soralu
Vyasa Swamiji

¹⁹⁸
(in place of Mr. H.
Keshava Mooki) on his
own pay of Rs 125/- per
but wrote ~~to~~ the following
note of T. A. during his
deputation.

1/2 times the second class fare
3 as mileage with daily allowance
as per rules. and recommending
also that pension contribution
on account of Mr. M.S.

Snappa may be waived.

3) Letter no 1888/28-12
of 10/8/31 from Mr. M.C.
~~same office~~ regarding
order of sanctioning
the grant of 2 months
privilege leave of absence
on the present leave account
(Rs 150 + ^{R₂} 75) to Mr.
H. Keshava Mooki prior
to his reversion to the
Rm Dept.

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

F. R. S. App F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Yours are pleased to
Sanction the several
requests of the M.C.
related to the following
modifications:—

- (1) The additional allowance
to the Swamikal ^{for 1930-31} will
be Rs 1000/- only
- (2) The appointment of
^{as a part of the District Auditors}
Mr. M. S. Purabhi ^{as a part of the}
in the second of the letters read
~~be tentative for a~~
~~period of six months~~

above are approved as a tentative
measure for six months

- (3) The privilege leave
to be given to Mr.
Keshava Rao ^{his}
present circumstances
will be limited to 1/11 th
in his active service
put on as a result of the
M.C.


19 8 3
For Secy to Govt
H. S. P.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

80

Read:--


Government Order No.1051-2/Muz.116-30-3 dated 7th
November 1930 sanctioning a ^{provisional} scale of income and expenditure of the
Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt, ^{the} management of the properties of which
have been taken over by Government.

Correspondence ending with letter No.1888/18-29 dated
14th August 1931 from the Muzrai Commissioner submitting a revised
scale of income and expenditure and requesting sanction thereto.

Order No. 385-6 / ²⁵ Muz 116-30-~~27~~ / Stat

Bangalore, dated the 8th September 1931.
¹⁰

The Muzrai Commissioner's proposals are approved.
Statements showing the revised scale of income and expenditure are
appended to this order.


For Financial Secretary to Government.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore with a copy of the
The Comptroller to Government - do - . statement.

2.

1932-1933.

Income.

R 35,103-13-7

Expenditure.

Expenditure as detailed on the previous page.

R. 15095/-

Cost of Band Acquisition for ^{the new} Lutt building at Sosalai.

" 1000/-

TOTAL.

" 16095/-

Amount available.

R 19008-13-7

1933-1934.

Income.

R 35,103-13-7

Expenditure.

Expenditure as detailed on the previous page.

R. 15095/-

Cost of Band Acquisition for ^{the new} Lutt building at Sosalai.

" 1000/-

TOTAL.

" 16095/-

Amount available.

R 19008-13-7

(On an average a sum of R 16,000/- per annum can be released for the future expenses for the next 3 years. The remaining provision of R 30 per annum for unforeseen uses and reserve.

Yenarasinga Ra
Periuzhi Commissioner.

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to 201

General and Revenue Secretariat

the () 193

Date of issue.....10.9.31

Signed by.....Mrs. V. S.

May this be

- (i) Printed ?
- (ii) treated as a standing order ? and
- (iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?
- (iv) translated ? reporting
- (v) placed at the disposal of the press ? for reference only
- (vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Sec. mur

File No. 116-30

Serial No. 2672 25

Proceedings of the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read. fo. No. 1051-2/May 116-30-387-11-30

~~passing order on the report of work done in the Madras Presidency in respect of the properties of the Sri Vyasaarayana Math Road situated there and on the provisional scale of income and expenditure of the Math.~~

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 1888/28-9 dated 14.8.31 from the Mysore Commissioner explaining the reasons for varying the Scale of the Sri Vyasaarayana Math of Sosa, the liability of the Math, and the necessity for limiting the expenditure by the Math to Rs 16000/- per annum with a view to provide a debt-fund, funds for repairs and improvements and a small reserve for unforeseen items of expenditure. ~~Order Thereon No. 1888/28-9 dated 14.8.31~~ and requesting sanction to the scale appended. (The statements enclosed with the R.D. to be typed in toto and annexed to the order)

Order Thereon: - 1888 6/31

Approved.

7.9.31 7/9/31 H. S. S. S.

The Mysore Commissioner with a copy of the file
The Comptroller

Statement showing the income and expenditure of the Math for the year 1930-31

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

Pages 1-84